**Ellipsis and Anaphora**

**A Preliminary Bibliography for the "Leçon d'Agrégation option C"**

What follows is simply a proposal to get things started. Any suggestions will gladly be incorporated into this document (please send them to philip.miller@univ-paris-diderot.fr). Specifically the following type of suggestions are welcome: (i) items that are missing from the bibliography (please indicate at which level they should be inserted, minimal bibliography, central references, or extended bibliography); (ii) suggestions of changes in status that would be appropriate (i.e. items that should be for instance promoted from the extended bbg to the central references or demoted from central references to extended bbg); (iii) more detailed descriptions of the contents of the various items to be added as comments; (iv) URL links to electronic versions of the documents.

The following list of references is organized as follows. First, a minimal bibliography which includes the absolute minimum that can be reasonably required in preparing for the examination. Second, a broader list of central references allowing one to go deeper into various more specific topics. Third an extended bibliography with a much broader scope. Fourth some references on French or contrasting French and English which might prove useful (this is a very incomplete list).

## *1. Minimal Bibliography*

Cornish, Francis. 1999. *Anaphora, Discourse, and Understanding. Evidence from French and English*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Huddleston, Rodney and Geoffrey K. Pullum. 2002. *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*. Cambridge: CUP, Chapter 17 on Deixis and Anaphora; Chapter 5 on Nouns and NPs, Sections 9 (Fused head and elliptical NPs) and 10 (Pronouns) and Chapter 15 on Coordination Sections 4.2 (Gapped coordination) and 4.4 (Delayed right constituent coordination).

Quirk, Randolf, Sydney Greenbaum, Geoffrey Leech and Jan Svartvik. 1985. *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman, Chapter 6, pp.335-398 and Chapter 12, pp.858-913. More specifically, in chapter 6, sections 1-22, concentrating on 3rd person pronouns, sections 23-28 on reflexive pronouns, sections 29-30 on possessive pronouns, section 31 on reciprocals sections 36-39 on interrogative pronouns, sections 40-44 on demonstrative pronouns, 52-58 on assertive pronouns, 62 on negative pronouns; in chapter 12, sections 12.8 and following.

## *2. Central references*

Ariel, Mira. 1990. *Accessing Noun Phrase Antecedents*. London: Routledge.

Major reference introducing the accessibility hierarchy as an explanation for the choice between definite descriptions, proper names, pronouns, demonstratives etc.

Ariel, Mira. 1994. Interpreting anaphoric expressions: a cognitive versus a pragmatic approach. *Journal of Linguistics* 30, 3-42.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQXJpZWWwsX01pcmEvQXJpZWWxfMTk5NF9JbnRlcnByZXRpbmdfYW5hcGhvcmljX2V4cHJlc3Npb25zLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Barton, Ellen L. 1990. *Nonsentential Constituents. A Theory of Grammatical Structure and Pragmatic Interpretation*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Discussion of fragments.

Bolinger, Dwight. 1979. Pronouns in discourse. In *Syntax and Semantics 12: Discourse and Syntax*, Talmy Givón, (ed). New York: Academic Press, pp. 289-309.

Some early counterexamples to the precede and command constraint on anaphora.

Bos, Johan and Jennifer Spenader. 2011. An Annotated Corpus for Study of VP Ellipsis. *Language Resources and Evaluation.*

Some statistical data on the use of VP Ellipsis.http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQm9zLF9Kb2hhbi9Cb3NTcGVuYWRlcjIwMTEucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Bosch, Peter. 1983. *Agreement and Anaphora: A Study of the Role of Pronouns in Syntax and Discourse*. London: Academic Press.

Bouton, Lawrence F. 1970. Antecedent contained pro-forms. In *Papers from the Sixth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, 154-167.

The original statement of the antecedent contained proform problem.

Büring, Daniel. 2005. *Binding Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

A very clear introduction to Binding Theory. Very pedagogical and complete.

Carden, Guy 1982. Backwards anaphora in discourse context, *Journal of Linguistics* 18: 361-387.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQ2FyZGVuLF9HdXkvQ2FyZGVuXzE5ODJfQmFja3dhcmRzX0FuYXBob3JhLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Chung, Sandra, William A. Ladusaw and James McCloskey. 1995. Sluicing and logical form. *Natural Language Semantics* 3, 239-282.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQ2h1bmcsX1NhbmRyYS9DaHVuZ19ldF9hbC5fMTk5NV9TbHVpY2luZ19hbmRfbG9naWNhbF9mb3JtLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Cohen, Dana. 2010. Intensive Reflexives in English and Hebrew as Signals of Information Structure. Carsten Breul & Edward Göbbel (eds.) *Comparative Information Structure Analysis. Amsterdam*: John Benjamins.

Cohen, Dana. 1999. Towards a Unified Account of Intensive Reflexives. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 31: 1041-1052.

Cornish, Francis. 1992. *So be it*: The discourse-semantic roles of *so* and *it*. *Journal of Semantics* 9.2, 163-178.

Paper discussing the choice of *so* vs. *it* as a verbal complement (e.g. *She said so/it*)

Cornish, Francis. 2011. 'Strict' anadeixis, discourse deixis and text structuring. *Language Sciences* 33, 753–767,

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQ29ybmlzaCxfRnJhbmNpcy9MU0M3NzIucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Cornish, Francis. 2010. Anaphora: Text-based or discourse-dependent? Functionalist vs. formalist accounts. *Functions of Language* 17:2, 207–241.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQ29ybmlzaCxfRnJhbmNpcy9Db3JuaXNoXzIwMTBfQW5hcGhvcmEucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Cotte, Pierre. 2011. On Anaphora. *Etudes anglaises* 64/3, 357-368.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQ290dGUsX1BpZXJyZS9Db3R0ZUFuYXBob3JhMjAxMmUucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Culicover, Peter W and Ray Jackendoff. 2005. *Simpler Syntax.* Oxford: Oxford University Press. (Especially chapters 7 and 8, pp.233-300; also chapter 12, pp.415-472)

A very useful overview of bare argument ellipsis, sluicing, gapping, *do it/this/that/so*, VP ellipsis, pseudo-gapping and *one*-anaphora. Chapter 12 discusses the semantic basis of control, i.e. the interpretation of zero subjects in infinitives.

Fillmore, Charles J. 1986. Pragmatically controlled zero anaphora. *BLS* 12, 95-107.

Paper discussing zero objects interpreted anaphorically.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvRmlsbG1vcmUsX0NoYXJsZXMvRmlsbG1vcmVfUHJhZ21hdGljYWxseV9jb250cm9sbGVkX3plcm9fYW5hcGhvcmEucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Gardelle, Laure. « Article défini, pronoms personnels de 3e personne et démonstratifs : approche comparée de l'accès à la référence ». *Anglophonia* n°28, décembre 2010, pp. 33-47.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR2FyZGVsbGUsX0xhdXJlL0dhcmRlbGxlX2FydGljbGUuQW5nbG9waG9uaWEuGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Gast, Volker and Peter Siemund. 2006. Rethinking the relationship between SELF-intensifiers and reflexives. *Linguistics* 44.2, 343–381.

An important paper on emphatic reflexives

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR2FzdCxfVm9sa2VyL0dhc3RfYW5kX1NpZW11bmRfMjAwNl9pbnRlbnNpdmVfcmVmbGV4aXZlcy5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Grosz, Barbara J., Aravind K. Joshi, Scott Weinstein. 1995.Centering: A framework for modeling the local coherence of disocurse. *Computational Linguistics* 21.2:203-225.

Foundational paper for centering theory

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR3Jvc3osX0JhcmJhcmEvR3Jvc3pfZXRfYWxfMTk5NV9jZW50ZXJpbmcucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Guimier, Claude. 1981. Sur la substitution verbale en anglais. *Modèles linguistiques* 3.1, 135-161.

Gundel, Jeanette, Nancy Hedberg and Ron Zacharski. 1993. Cognitive status and the form of referring expressions in discourse. *Language* 69.2, 274-307.

Foundational paper for the givenness hierarchy. Clear, well written.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR3VuZGVsLF9KZWFuZXR0ZS9HdW5kZWwtZXRhbENvZ25pdGl2ZV9TdGF0dXNfYW5kX3RoZV9Gb3JtX29mX1JlZmVycmluZ19FeHByZXNzaW9uc2UucGdm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Gundel, Jeanette, Michael Hegarty and Kaja Borthen. 2003. Information structure and pronominal reference to clausally introduced entities. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information* 12.3, 37-51 (Special issue edited by Ivana Kruijff-Korbayová and Mark Steedman on "Information Structure, Discourse Structure and Discourse Semantics").

Discussion of the choice of *this/that* vs. *it* to refer back to clausally introduced entities.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR3VuZGVsLF9KZWFuZXR0ZS9HdW5kZWwtZXRhbF9JbmZvcm1hdGlvbl9zdHJ1Y3R1cmVfYW5kX3Byb25vbWluYWxfcmVmZXJlbmNlX3RvX2NsYXVzYWxseV9pbnRyb2R1Y2VkX2VudGl0aWVzLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Halliday, M.A.K. and Ruqaiya Hasan. 1976. *Cohesion in English*. Harlow: Longman.

A major classical reference on the topic of ellipsis and anaphora.

Hankamer, Jorge and Ivan Sag. 1976. Deep and surface anaphora. *Linguistic Inquiry* 7, 391-426.

Probably the most important classical paper on the topic in the generative tradition, covering VP-ellipsis, *do so/it/this/that*, null complement anaphora, etc. Numerous data, very stimulating.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGFua2FtZXIsX0pvcmdlL0hhbmthbWVyX2FuZF9TYWdfMTk3Ni5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hawkins, John A. 1978. *Definiteness and Indefiniteness: A Study in Reference and Grammaticality Prediction*. London: Croom Helm.

A classic on definite and indefinite NPs. Useful discussion of definite reference. There is a discussion of associative anaphora.

Kehler, Andrew. 2000. Coherence and the resolution of ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 23: 533–575.

Presents Kehler's theory of coherence as a constraint on ellipsis and discusses VP ellipsis and gapping. Much of the relevant content of the 2002 book is covered in this paper.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvS2VobGVyLF9BbmRyZXcvQ29oZXJlbmNlX2FuZ90aGVfcmVzb2x1dGlvbl9vZl9lbGxpcHNpc19MMJlBoLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Kehler, Andrew. 2002. *Coherence, Reference and the Theory of Grammar*. Stanford: CSLI.

A very important reference for VP ellipsis, gapping and pronoun interpretation in a discourse perspective.

Kehler, Andrew and Gregory Ward. 2004. Constraints on Ellipsis and Event Reference / Chapter 17 from *Handbook of Pragmatics*, Horn, Laurence R. and Gregory Ward, eds. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., pp. 383-403.

http://www.ling.northwestern.edu/~ward/HoPa.pdf

Kuno, Susumu. 1987. *Functional Syntax: Anaphora, Discourse and Empat*hy. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

A major reference criticizing the syntax based analysis of pronouns and reflexives; introduces the notion of empathy and explores its applications in the analysis of reflexives.

Levin, Nancy S. 1986(1979). *Main Verb Ellipsis in Spoken English*. New York Garland (Published version of her 1979 Ohio State University PhD thesis).

The first major study of pseudogapping with many attested examples.

Lyons, John. 1977. *Semantics* (2 vol). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Especially important is the discussion of referent order in Vol.2, pp. 442-445. Also the discussion of reference, sense and denotation (Vol.1, ch. 7) and the discussion of deixis (Vol.2, ch. 15) provide useful background.

Mauroy, Régis. 1997. '*It isn't so*': deux types de marqueurs anaphoriques pour deux opérations spécifiques.. In Bouscaren (éd.), *Cahiers de reche*rche, tome 7, 169-216, Gap: Ophrys.

McCawley, James D. 1998. *The Syntactic Phenomena of English* 2nd ed., Chicago:University of Chicago Press. (Ch. 11, p. 335ff)

Merchant, Jason. 2001. *The Syntax of Silence: Sluicing, Islands and the Theory of Ellipsis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

A major theoretical work on sluicing, defends the idea that ellipsis sites contain empty syntactic structure.

Miller, Philip. 2011. The choice between verbal anaphors in discourse. In I. Hendrickx, S. Lalitha Devi, A. Branco and R. Mitkov, eds., *Anaphora Processing and Applications: 8th Discourse Anaphora and Anaphor Resolution Colloquium, DAARC 2011*, Volume 7099 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, 82-95. Berlin: Springer.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L0pvdXJu6WVfZF/pdHVkZXNfMTVfb2N0b2JyZS9QYXBlcnMvTWlsbGVyX3ZlcmJhbF9hbmFFwaG9yc19kaXNjb3Vyc2VfMjAxMS5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Miller, Philip and Geoffrey K. Pullum. 2012. Exophoric verb phrase ellipsis. Paper read at the 2012 meeting of the Linguistic Society of America.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvTWlsbGVyLF9QaGlsaXAvRXhvcGhvcmljUEFFFOS5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Mittwoch, Anita 1983. Backward anaphora and discourse structure. *Journal of Pragmatics* 7,129-139.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvTWl0dHdvY2hfQW5pdGEvTWl0dHdvY2gtam91cm5hbC1vZi1wcmFnbWF0aWNzMTk4My5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Nerbonne, John, Masayo Iida and William Ladusaw. 1989. Running on empty. *WCCFL* 8.

Discussion of *one* anaphora and the "proform" use of determiners.

Pollard, Carl and Ivan A. Sag. 1992. Anaphors in English and the scope of binding theory. *Linguistic Inquiry* 23, 261-303.

An alternative to the c-command based binding theory based on the notion of obliqueness.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvUG9sbGFyZCxfQ2FybC9Qb2xsYXJkX1NhZ18xOTkyX0FuYXBob3JzX2luX0VuZ2xpc2gucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Reinhart, Tanya. 1983. *Anaphora and Semantic Interpretation*. London: Croom Helm.

The classical discussion of the c-command based constraints on proforms.

Sag, Ivan. 1976. Deletion and Logical Form. PhD Dissertation, MIT.

A classical reference on ellipsis, especially VP ellipsis and gapping. Many examples.

http://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/16401/03491413.pdf?sequence=1

Schachter, Paul. 1978. English propredicates. *Linguistic Analysis* 4.3, 187-224.

The classical reanalysis of VP Ellipsis as a proform use of the auxiliaries.

Souesme, Jean-Claude 1987. Valeurs et emplois respectifs de DO et DO SO. *Modèles Linguistiques* 9: 65-92.

Van Hoek, Karen 1997. *Anaphora and ConceptuaI Structure*. Chicago : University of Chicago Press.

Wales, Katie 1996. *Personal Pronouns in Present-day English*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Chapter 2 provides an overview of third person pronouns, anaphora and discourse; Chapter 7 covers current trends on possessives and reflexives.

Ward, Gregory, Richard Sproat and Gail McKoon. 1991. A pragmatic analysis of so-called anaphoric islands. *Language* 67.3, 439-473.

A reassessment of the idea that anaphora may not find their antecedent inside a word, e.g. the claim that the N *smoker* cannot serve as antecedent-trigger for the verb *smoke* in VP-ellipsis.

http://www.ling.northwestern.edu/~ward/Language91.pdf

Webber, Bonnie Lynn. 1979(1978). *A Formal Approach to Discourse Anaphora*. New York: Garland. (Published version of her 1978 Harvard University PhD thesis.)

Zribi-Hertz, Anne. 1989. Anaphor binding and narrative point of view : English reflexive pronouns in sentence and discourse. *Language* 65.4, 695-727.

Discussion of the use of reflexives violating principle A of the binding theory in discourse. Many naturally occurring examples.

## 3. Extended bibliography

Agbayani, Brian and Ed Zoerner. 2004. Gapping, pseudogapping and sideward movement. *Studia Linguistica* 58.3, 185-211.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?
url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQWdiYXlhbmksX0JyaWFuL0FnYmF5YW5pX1pvZXJuZXJfMjAwNF9nYXBwaW5nX3BzZXVkb2dhcHBpbmdfYW5kX3NpZGV3YXJkX21vdmVtZW50LnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Akmajian, Adrian. 1973. The role of focus in the interpretation of anaphoric expressions. In Anderson, S. and P. Kiparsky (eds), *A Festschrift for Morris Halle*, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, pp.215-116.

Aoun, Joseph. 1985. A Grammar of Anaphora. Cambridge, Ma.:MIT Press.

Arnaud, Pierre. 2000. Endophore, référence, lexique et articles. *Anglophonia* 8, 43-61.

Arregui, Ana, Charles Clifton Jr., Lyn Frazier, and Keir Moulton. 2006. Processing elided verb phrases with flawed antecedents: The recycling hypothesis. *Journal of Memory and Language* 55, 232-246.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?
url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQXJyZWd1aSxfQW5hL0FycmVndWlfZXRfYWxfMjAwNl9Qcm9jZXNzaW5nX2VsaWRlZF9WUHMucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Auran, Cyril. 2004. *Prosodie et anaphore dans le discours en anglais et en français : cohésion et attribution référentielle*. Thèse de doctorat, Université Aix-Marseille 1.

http://stl.recherche.univ-lille3.fr/sitespersonnels/auran/.../auran_dissertation.pdf

Bach, Emmon, Joan Bresnan and Thomas Wasow. 1974. Sloppy Identity: an unnecessary and insufficient criterion for deletion rules. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 5.4: 609-614.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?
url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQmFjaxfRW1tb24vQmFjaF9ldF9hbF8xOTc0X1Nsb3BweV9JZGVudGl0eS5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Bach, Emmon and Partee, Barbara. 1980. Anaphora and semantic structure. In Jody Kreiman and Almerindo E. Ojeda, (eds), *Papers from the Parasession on Pronouns and Anaphora*. Chicago Linguistic Society, pp.1-28.

Baker, Adam. Undated. Discourse coherence and VP ellipsis with split antecedents. Ms. University of Chicago.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQmFrZXIsX0FkYW0vQmFrZXJfVmVyYl9QaHJhc2VfRWxsaXBzaXNfUmVzb2x1dGlvbl9hc19hX1NpZGVfRWZmZWN0X29mX0Rpc2NvdXJzZV9Db2hlcmVuY2UucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Baker, Adam. 2007. Verb Phrase ellipsis resolution as a side effect of discourse coherence. Ms. University of Chicago.

http://home.uchicago.edu/~adamc/papers/ellipsis-coherence.pdf

Baltin, Mark. 2003. Pseudogapping. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 21.2, 215-246.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQmFsdGluLF9NYXJrL0JhbHRpbl9Qc2V1ZG9nYXBwaW5nX05MTFRfMjAwMy5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Bélanger, Suzanne. 2004. Processing of deep and surface anaphors. A replication and extension of Tanenhaus, Carlson & Seidenberg (1985). *Toronto Working Papers in Linguistics* 22, 1–40.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQllsYW5nZXIsX1N1emFubmUvQmVsYW5nZXJfMjAwNF9kZWVwX2FuZF9zdXJmYWNlX2FuYXBob3JhLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Bouton, L. DO SO = DO + adverb. *Studies presented to R. B. Lees*, Sadock, J.M. and Vanek, A. L. (eds)

Bresnan, Joan. 1971. A note on the notion "Identity of Sense Anaphora". *Linguistic Inquiry* 2, 589-597.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQnJlc25hbixfSm9hbi9CcmVzbmFuXzE5NzFfQV9ub3RlX29uX3RoZV9ub3Rpb25fSWRlbnRpdHlfb2Zfc2Vuc2VfYW5hcGhvcmEucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Charreyre, Claude. 2004. Perspectives énonciatives sur l'anaphore nominale. *Anaphores nominales et verbales, Cycnos 18.2.*

http://revel.unice.fr/cycnos/index.html?id=33

Chauvin, Catherine. 2007. « Enoncés sans sujet et/ou sans verbe en anglais et fonction expressive : évaluation/expressivité, structuration de l'énoncé/ expressivité », in C. Paulin, *La fonction expressive*, vol 1, Besançon, Presses Universitaires de Franche-Comté, pp. 13-26.

Chauvin, Catherine. 2009. « Enoncés sans sujet, sans verbe ou sans sujet et sans verbe : prédication et processus de référenciation », in J.-M. Merle, *La prédication*, Bibliothèque de Faits de Langues, Paris, Ophrys, p. 99-108.

Chauvin, Catherine. à par. « Existe-t-il des constructions averbales ? Le cas des énoncés averbaux évaluatifs avec adjectif de type Great ! en anglais », in F. Lefeuvre, I. Behr (dir.), *Les énoncés averbaux autonomes entre grammaire et discours*, Ophrys.

Chomsky, N. 1981. *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Clifton Jr. Charles and Lyn Frazier. 2010. Imperfect ellipsis: antecedents beyond syntax? *Syntax* 13.4, 279-297.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvQ2xpZnRvbl9Kci4sX0NoYXJsZXMvQ2xpZnRvbl9GcmF6aWVyXzIwMTAucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Cohen, Dana. (in prep.). *Self-Focusing: The English Intensive Reflexive.* To appear in Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Cohen, Dana. 2004. *Intensive reflexives between sentence and discourse*. PhD dissertation. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Cole, Sharon Ann. 1996. *Grammatical and Discourse Properties of Null Arguments in English*. Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Pennsylvania.

Cornish, Francis. 1986. *Anaphoric Relations in English and French. A Discourse Perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Crymes, Ruth. 1968. *Some Systems of Substitution Correlations in Modern American English*. The Hague: Mouton.

Dahl, Deborah A. 1985. *The Structure and Function of One-anaphora in English*. Bloomington, In.: Indiana University Linguistics Club. (Published version of her University of Minnesota PhD thesis.)

Dalrymple, Mary, Stuart Shieber and Fernando Pereira. 1991. Ellipsis and higher-order unification. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 14.4, 399-452.

Donnellan, Keith. 1966. Reference and definite descriptions. *Philosophical Review* LXXV.3.281-304. Also in Steinberg, Danny D. and Leon A. Jakobovits (eds.). *Semantics: An Interdisciplinary Reader in Philosophy, Linguistics and Psychology*, 100-114. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvRG9ubmVsbGFuLF9LZWl0aC9Eb25uZWxsYW5fMTk2Nl9SZWZlcmVuY2VfYW5kX2RlZmluaXRlX2Rlc2NyaXB0aW9ucy5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Dougherty, Ray. 1969. An interpretative theory of pronominal reference. *Foundations of Language* 5.4, 488-519.

Edmonson, Jerry and Plank, Franz 1978. Great expectations: an intensive self analysis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 2, 373-413.

Evans, Gareth. 1980. Pronouns. *Linguistic Inquiry* 11.2, 337-362.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvRXZhbnMsX0dhcmV0aC9FdmFuc18xOTgwX1Byb25vdW5zLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Fiengo, Robert and Robert May. 1996. Anaphora and identity. In Lappin, Shalom (ed.). *The Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory* 117-144. Oxford: Blackwell.

Fox, Barbara A. 1987. *Discourse Structure and Anaphora. Written and Conversational English*. Cambridge: CUP.

Frazier, Lyn and Charles Clifton Jr. 2005. The syntax-discourse divide: processing ellipsis. *Syntax* 8.2, 121-174.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvRnJhemllcixfTHluL0ZyYXppZXJfQ2xpZnRvbl9FbGxpcHNpc19zeW50YXhfMjAwNS5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Frazier, Lyn and Charles Clifton Jr. 2006. Ellipsis and discourse coherence. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 29, 315-346.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvRnJhemllcixfTHluL0ZyYXppZXJfQ2xpZnRvbl9WUEUucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Fu, Jingqi, Thomas Roeper and Hagit Borer. 2001. The VP within process nominals: Evidence from adverbs and the VP anaphor *do so*. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 19.3, 549-582.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvRnUsX0ppbmdxaS9GdSxfUm9lcGVyX2FuZF9fQm9yZXIuXzIwMDEuX1RoZV9WUF93aXRoaW5fcHJvY2Vzc19ub21pbmFscy1fRXZpZGVuY2VfZnJvbV9hZHZlcmJzX2FuZF90aGVfVlBfYW5hcGhvcl9kb19zby5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Garnham, Alan. 2001. *Mental Models and the Interpretation of Anaphora*. Hove: Psychology Press.

Gilbert, Eric. 2004. Anaphore et qualification : quelques valeurs de *so. Cycnos* 18.2.

http://revel.unice.fr/cycnos/index.html?id=37

Girard, Geneviève. 2004. Les paramètres à la construction des anaphores. *Anaphores nominales et verbales. Cycnos* 18.2.

http://revel.unice.fr/cycnos/index.html?id=35

Girard, Geneviève. 2011. Infinitival *to* as a cohesion marker. *RANAM* 44, 47-66.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR2lyYXJkLF9HZW5ldmlldmUvR2lyYXJkX2luZmluaXRpdmFsX3RvX1JBTkFLmRvYw==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Grinder, John and Paul Postal. 1971. Missing antecedents. *Linguistic Inquiry* 2.3, 269-312.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR3JpbmRlcixfSm9obi9HcmluZGVyX2FuZF9Qb3N0YWxfMTk3MV9NaXNzaW5nX0FudGVjZWRlbnRzLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Groefsema, Marjolein. 1995. Understood arguments: A semantic/pragmatic approach. *Lingua* 96, 139-161.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvR3JvZWZzZW1hLF9NYXJqb2xlaW4vR3JvZWZzZW1hXzE5OTVfVW5kZXJzdG9vZF9hcmd1bWVudHMucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hamilton, Craig. 2011. From Anaphoric to Cataphoric 'It': A View from Cognitive Linguistics, *Cercles* 20, 22-40.

http://www.cercles.com/n20/hamilton.pdf

Hamm Albert. 2002. Référence, anaphore et coercition de type. In Kleiber, G. et N. Le Querler (eds), *Traits d'Union*, Presses Universitaires de Caen, p 121-134.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGFtbSxfQWxiZXJ0L0hhbW1fcmUmIzc2OTtmZSYjNzY5O3JlbmNlX2FuYXBob3JlX2NvZXJjaXRpb24ucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hankamer, Jorge. 1978. On the nontransformational derivation of some null VP anaphors. *Linguistic Inquiry* 9.1, 66-74.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGFua2FtZXIsX0pvcmdlL0hhbmthbWVyXzE5NzgucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hankamer, Jorge. 1979. *Deletion in Coordinate Structures*. New York: Garland. (Contains the first three chapters of his Ph.D. dissertation (Yale, 1971) *Constraints on deletion in syntax* as well as an abridged version of the 4th and last chapter, which discusses deletion outside coordinate structure).

Hardt, Daniel. 1993. *Verb Phrase Ellipsis: Form, Meaning, Processing*. Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, IRCS Report 93-23.

http://repository.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1182&context=ircs_reports

Hardt, Daniel. 1997. An empirical approach to VP Ellipsis. *Computational Linguistics* 23.4.

http://www.ldc.upenn.edu/acl/J/J97/J97-4002.pdf

Hardt, Daniel. 1999. Dynamic interpretation of Verb Phrase Ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 22,185-219.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGFyZHQsX0RhbmllbC9EeW5hbWljX2ludGVwcmV0YXRpb25fb2ZfVlBFX0wmUGgucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hardt, Daniel, Line Mikkelsen and Bjarne Ørsnes. 2011. Orphans hosted by VP anaphora. *WCCFL* 29.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGFyZHQsX0RhbmllbC9IYXJkdF9NaWtrZWxzZW5fb3JwaGFuX2luX1ZQX2FuYXBob3JhX1dDQ0ZMXzIwMTEucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hardt, Daniel and Maribel Romero. to appear. Ellipsis and the Structure of Discourse. *Journal of Semantics*.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGFyZHQsX0RhbmllbC9IYXJkdF9Sb21lcm9fRWxsaXBzaXMucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hedley, Paul. 2007. *Anaphora, Relevance and the Conceptual/Procedural Distinction*. PhD dissertation, Magdalen College.

http://mostlyharmless.org.uk/linguistics/documents/Thesis-complete-Final-revised.pdf

Hendriks, Petra. 2004. Coherence relations, ellipsis and contrastive topics. *Journal of Semantics* 21, 133-153.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGVuZHJpa3MsX1BldHJhL0hlbmRyaWtzXzIwMDRfQ29oZXJlbmNlX1JlbGF0aW9ucyxfRWxsaXBzaXNfYW5kX0NvbnRyYXN0aXZlX1RvcGljcy5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hetherington-Blin, Lynn. 2007. *La coordination en anglais: l'ambiguïté des liens*. Thèse de doctorat, Université de Provence Aix-Marseille I.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSGV0aGVyaW5ndG9uLUJsaW4sX0x5bm4vVGhlc2lzX2xhc3RfdmVyc2lvbl9NYXJjaF8xNF8yMDA3ZG9jLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Hoeksema, Jack. 2006. Pseudogapping: its syntactic analysis and cumulative effects on its acceptability. *Research on Language and Computation* 4:335-352.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSG9la3NlbWFuLF9KYWNrL0hvZWtzZW1hX1BzZXVkb2dhcHBpbmdfMjAwNi5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Houser, Michael John. 2010. *The Syntax and Semantics of Do So Anaphora.* Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of California at Berkeley.

http://linguistics.berkeley.edu/~mhouser/Papers/do_so_anaphora.pdf

Hudson, Richard. 1982. Incomplete conjuncts. *Linguistic Inquiry* 13.3, 547-550.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSHVkc29uLF9SaWNoYXJkL0h1ZHNvbl9pbmNvbXBsZXRlX2Nvbmp1bmN0cy5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Jayaseelan, K. A. 1990. Incomplete VP deletion and gapping. *Linguistic Analysis* 20, 64-81.

Johnson, Kyle. 2001. What VP ellipsis can do, what it can't, but not why, in *The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory,* Mark Baltin and Chris Collins (eds.) Blackwell Publishers, pp. 439-479.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSm9obnNvbixfS3lsZS9Kb2huc29uX1doYXRfVlBfRWxsaXBzaXNfY2FuX2RvLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Johnson, Kyle. 2009. Gapping is not (VP) Ellipsis. *Linguistic Inquiry* 40.2, 289-328.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvSm9obnNvbixfS3lsZS9Kb2huc29uXzIwMDlfR2FwcGluZ_19pc19ub3RfVlBfRWxsaXBzaXMucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Kaiser, Elsi, Jeffrey T. Runner, Rachel S. Sussman, Michael K. Tanenhaus. 2009. Structural and semantic constraints on the resolution of pronouns and reflexives. *Cognition* 112.1, 55-80.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvS2Fpc2VyLF9FbHNpL0thXNlcl9ldF9hbF8yMDA5X1N0cnVjdHVyYWxfYW5kX3NlbWFudGljX2NvbnN0cmFpbnRzLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Kehler, Andrew and Gregory Ward. 1999. On the semantics and pragmatics of 'identifier *so*'. in *The Semantics/Pragmatics Interface from Different Points of View*, edited by Ken Turner. [*Current Research in the Semantics/Pragmatics Interface* series, Volume I.] Amsterdam: Elsevier. Pp. 233-256.

http://www.ling.northwestern.edu/~ward/CRISPI99.pdf

Keller, Frank and Ash Asudeh. 2001. Constraints on Linguistic Coreference: Structural vs. Pragmatic Factors. In Johanna D. Moore and Keith Stenning, eds., *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, 483–488. Mahawah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum, 2001.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvS2VsbGVyLF9GcmFuay9LZWxsZXImQXN1ZGVoMjAwMS5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Kertz, Laura. 2008. Focus structure and acceptability in Verb Phrase Ellipsis. *WCCFL* 2008.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvS2VydHosX0xhdXJhL0tlcnR6X0ZvY3VzX1N0cnVjdHVyZV9WUEVfMjAwOF9Q0NGTC5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Kertz, Laura. 2010. *Ellipsis Reconsidered.* Unpublished PhD dissertation, University of California at San Diego.

http://research.clps.brown.edu/kertz/papers/Kertz_Thesis.pdf

Kertz, Laura. 2010. Verb Phrase Ellipsis: the view from information structure. Unpublished ms. Brown University.

http://research.clps.brown.edu/kertz/papers/Kertz_Ellipsis_Draft.pdf

Kim, Christina S., Gregory M. Kobele, Jeffrey T. Runner, John T. Hale. 2010. The acceptability cline in VP ellipsis. To appear in *Syntax*.
http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvS2ltLF9DaHJpc3RpbmEvS2ltXzIwMTBfYWNjZXB0YWJpbGl0eV9WUEUucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Kim, Christina S. and Jeffrey T. Runner. 2009. Discourse Structure and Parallelism in VP Ellipsis. *Proceedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory* 19.
http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvS2ltLF9DaHJpc3RpbmUUnVubmVyVU1PUF9TeW50YWN0aWNfGFyYWxsZWxpc21fVlBFLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Kim, Jookyung and Stanley P. Peters. 1998. Semantic and pragmatic context-dependence: the case of reciprocals. In Pilar Barbosa, Danny Fox, Paul Hagstrom, Martha McGinnis and David Pesetsky (eds.), *Is the Best Good Enough?* Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press, 221-247.

Klin, C. et al. 2006. 'When Anaphor Resolution Fails: Partial Encoding of Anaphoric Inferences'. *Journal of Memory and Language* 54, 131– 143.

Kuno, Susumu. 1975. Conditions for verb phrase deletion. *Foundations of Language* 13, 161-175.

Kuno, Susumu. 1976. Gapping: a functional analysis. *Linguistic Inquiry* 7.2, 300-318.
http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvS3VubyxfU3VzdW11L0t1bm9fMTk3Nl9HYXBwaW5nLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Lakoff, George and Ross, John Robert. 1976(1966). Why you can't do so into the sink. In McCawley (ed.) 1976, 101-111

Langacker, Ronald W. 1969. On pronominalization and the chain of command. In D. Reibel and S. Schane (eds.) *Modern Studies in English*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, pp.160-186.

Lappin, Shalom. 1996. The interpretation of ellipsis. In Lappin, Shalom (ed.). *The Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory* 145-175. Oxford: Blackwell.

Lappin, Shalom and Elabbas Benmamoun (eds.). 1999. *Fragments. Studies in Ellipsis and Gapping*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Lasnik, Howard. 1976. Remarks on coreference. *Linguistic Analysis* 2.1, 1-22.

Lasnik, Howard. 1999. Pseudogapping puzzles. In Shalom Lappin and Elabbas Benmamoun (eds), *Fragments: Studies in Ellipsis and Gapping*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 141-174.

Levinson, Stephen C. 1987. Pragmatics and the grammar of anaphora : a partial pragmatic reduction of Binding and Control phenomena. *Journal of Linguistics* 23, 379-434.

Linde, Charlotte. 1979. Focus of attention and the choice of pronouns in discourse. In *Syntax and Semantics 12: Discourse and Syntax*, Talmy Givón, (ed). New York: Academic Press, pp. 3-21.

Lobeck, Anne. 1991. Phrase structure of ellipsis in English. In Rothstein, Susan D (ed.). *Perspectives on Phrase Structure: Heads and Licensing. Syntax and Semantics*, Vol. 25, 81-103. San Diego: Academic Press.

McDonald J. and MacWhinney, B. 1995 'The Time Course of Anaphor Resolution: Effects of Implicit Verb Causality and Gender'. *Journal of Memory and Language* 34, 543-566.

Merchant, Jason. 2004 Fragments and ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 27.6, 661-738.
http://home.uchicago.edu/~merchant/pubs/fragments.pdf

Merchant, Jason. 2011. Diagnosing ellipsis. To appear in Lisa Cheng and Nobert Corver (eds.), *Diagnosing syntax*, Oxford University Press.
https://webshare.uchicago.edu/users/merchant/Public/pubs/diagnosing.ellipsis.pdf

Merchant, Jason. 2009. Ellipsis. To appear in *Handbook of Contemporary Syntax*, 2nd edition, Artemis Alexiadou, Tibor Kiss, and Miriam Butt, eds. Walter de Gruyter: Berlin.
http://home.uchicago.edu/~merchant/pubs/merchant.ellipsis.pdf

Merchant, Jason. 2008. An Asymmetry in Voice Mismatches in VP-Ellipsis and Pseudogapping. *Linguistic Inquiry* 39.1, pp. 169-179.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvTWVyY2hhbnQsX0phc29uL1ZQRS5wc2V1ZG9nYXBwaW5nLkxJLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Merchant, Jason. 2006. Sluicing. In Martin Everaert and Henk van Riemsdijk (eds.), *The Syntax Companion*, 269-289. Blackwell: London.

http://home.uchicago.edu/~merchant/pubs/SynCom.sluicing.pdf

Michiels, A. 1977. More on DO SO and verb phrase substituion, in *Etudes Anglaises* 30.1.

Michiels, Archibald. 1978. A note on the relation between agent and stativity. *Neophilologus* 62.2, 172-177.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvTWljaGllbHMsX0FyY2hpYmFsZC9NaWNoaWVscy5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Miller, Philip. 1990. Pseudogapping and do so substitution. Proceedings of the 26th meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society, 1990, pp.293-305.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvTWlsbGVyLF9QaGlsaXAvTWlsbGVyX3BzZXVkb2dhcHBpbmdfQ0xTMTk5MC5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Miller, Philip. 1992. *Clitics and Constituents in Phrase Structure Grammar*. Garland: New York. [See chapter 3, sections on pseudogapping and pro-N *one*]

http://www.ufr-anglais.univ-paris7.fr/LISTE/m/Miller/Chapter3.pdf

Mourelatos, Alexander. 1978. Events, Processes and states. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 2, 415-434. Reprinted in Tedeschi and Zaenen, 1981, *Tense and Aspect*, *Syntax and Semantics*, 191-212.

Napoli, Donna Jo. 1983. Missing complement sentences in English: A base analysis of null complement anaphora. *Linguistic Analysis* 12.1, 1-28.

Napoli, Donna Jo. 1985. Verb phrase deletion in English: a base-generated analysis. *Journal of Linguistics* 21, 281-319.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvTmFwb2xpLF9Eb25uYV9Kby9OYXBvbGlfMTk4NV9WZXJiX3BocmFzZV9kZWxldGlvbl9pbl9FbmdsaXNoLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Otsu, Takahiro. undated. Notes on outbound anaphora with respect to *do so* and *do it*. *Studies in Language and Culture* 6.

https://qir.kyushu-u.ac.jp/dspace/bitstream/2324/5374/1/slc006p053.pdf

Park, Dongwoo. 2009. On pseudogapping in HPSG. *SNU Working Papers in English Language and Linguistics* 8, 1-15

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvUGFyayxfRG9uZ3dvby9QYXJrX2IwMDlfT25fUHNldWRvZ2FwcGluZ19pbl9IUFNHLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Partee, Barbara. 1984. Nominal and temporal anaphora. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 7, 243-286.

Partee, Barbara and Emmon Bach. 1981. Quantification, pronouns and VP anaphora. In Groenedijk, J., T. Janssen and M. Stokhof (eds), *Formal Methods in the Study of Language*, Part 2, Amsterdam Mathematical Centre Tracts 136, 445-481.

Postal, Paul. 1970. On so-called pronouns in English. In Jacobs, R. A. and P. S. Rosenbaum (eds.), *Readings in English Transformational Grammar*, Waltham, Ma.: Ginn and Company, 201-224.

Potts, Christopher and Florian Schwarz. 2010. Affective 'this'. *LiLT* 3.5, 1-30.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvUG90dHMsX0Nocmlzd0G9waGVyL3BvdHRzLXNjaHdhcnotZGVtb25zdHJhdGl2ZXMucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Pullum, Geoffrey K. 2000. Hankamer was! In *Jorge Hankamer WebFest*, Sandy Chung, Jim McCloskey, and Nathan Sanders (eds.)

http://ling.ucsc.edu/Jorge/pullum.html

Reinhart, Tanya and Reuland, Eric 1993. Reflexivity. *Linguistic Inquiry* 24, 657-720.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvUmVpbmhhcnQvUmVpbmhhcnRfUmV1bGFuZF8xOTkzX3JlZmxleGl2aXR5LnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Roberts, Craige. 1996. Anaphora in intensional contexts. In Lappin, Shalom (ed.). *The Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory* 215-246. Oxford: Blackwell.

Saeboe, Kjell Johan. 1996. Anaphoric presupposition and Zero anaphora. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19.2, 187-209.

Sag, Ivan A. and Jorge Hankamer. 1984. Towards a theory of anaphoric processing. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 7, 325-345.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvU2FnLF9JdmFuL1NhZ19IYW5rYW1lcl8xOTg0LnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Schachter, Paul. 1977. Does she or doesn't she? *Linguistic Inquiry* 8.4, 763-767.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvU2NoYWNodGVyL1Q9YXVsL1NjaGFjaHRlcl8xOTc3X0RvZXNfc2hlX29yX2RvZXNuX3Rfc2hlLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Schwabe, K. and S. Winkler (eds.). 2003. *The Interfaces: Deriving and Interpreting Omitted Structures.* Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Shopen, Timothy. 1973. Ellipsis as grammatical indeterminacy. *Foundations of Language* 10.1, 65-77.

Simner, Julia, Martin Pickering and Alan Garnham. 2003. Discourse Cues to Ambiguity Resolution: Evidence from "do it" comprehension. *Discourse Processes* 36.1, 1-17.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvU2ltbmVyL1_KdWxpYS9TaW1uZXJfUGlja2VyaW5nX0dhcm5oYW1fRG9faXQucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Sobin, Nicholas. 2008. *Do so* and VP. *Linguistic Inquiry* 39.1, 147-160.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvU29iaW4sX05pY2hvbGFzL1NvYmluXzIwMDhfZG9fc29fYW5kX1ZQLnBkZg==&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Souesme, Jean-Claude. 1985. Do something *et ses diverses réalisations en anglais contemporain.* Thèse de doctorat non publiée, Université de Paris 7.

Souesme, Jean-Claude. 1989. DO, deux valeurs, une fonction. In Gauthier, André (éd.), *Explorations en linguistique anglaise*. Berne: Peter Lang, pp. 91-151.

Souesme, Jean-Claude. 2011. Be or not be ? That is the question ! Ou la résurgence de *be* dans des reprises elliptiques de prédicats statifs. *Cercles* 20, 169-183.

http://www.cercles.com/n20/souesme.pdf

Stillings, Justine T. 1975. The formulation of gapping in English as evidence for variable types in syntactic tranformations. *Linguistic Analysis* 1.3, 247-273.

Välimaa-Blum, Riitta. 2004. On nominal and intonational frame anaphora. *Anaphores nominales et verbales. Cycnos* 18.2.

http://revel.unice.fr/cycnos/index.html?id=36

Van Hoek, K. 1997. *Anaphora and Conceptual Structure*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Vendler, Zeno. 1967. *Linguistics in Philosophy*. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.

Walker, Marilyn, Aravind Joshi and Ellen Prince. 1998. Centering in naturally occurring discourse: an overview. In Walker, Marilyn, Aravind Joshi and Ellen Prince (eds.), *Centering Theory in Discourse*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 1-28.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvV2Fsa2VyL1_9NYXJpbHluL1dhbGtlcl9Kb3NoaV9QcmluY2VfQ2VudGVyaW5nXzE5OTgucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Ward, Gregory and Andrew Kehler. 2005. Syntactic Form and Discourse Accessibility. In *Anaphora Processing: Linguistic, Cognitive and Computational Modelling*, edited by António Branco, Tony McEnery and Ruslan Mitkov.

http://www.ling.northwestern.edu/~ward/DAARC02.pdf

Wasow, Thomas. 1972. *Anaphoric Relations in English.* Unpublished MIT PhD dissertation.

http://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/12986/26083998.pdf?sequence=1

Williams, Edwin S. 1977. Discourse and Logical Form. *Linguistic Inquiry* 8.1, 101-139.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?
url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvV2lsbGlhbXMsX0Vkd2luL1dpbGxpYW1zXzE5NzdfRGlzY291cnNlX2FuZF9Mb2
dpY2FsX0Zvcm0ucGRm&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

Williams, Edwin S. 1977. On "Deep and Surface Anaphora". *Linguistic Inquiry* 8.4, 692-696.

http://didel.script.univ-paris-diderot.fr/claroline/backends/download.php?
url=L1JlZmVyZW5jZXMvV2lsbGlhbXMsX0Vkd2luL1dpbGxpYW1zXzE5NzdfT25fRGVlcF9hbmRfU3VyZm
FjZV9BbmFwaG9yYS5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=AGREXTOPTC

## 4. Some references on French

Apothéloz, Denis. 1995. *Rôle et fonctionnement de l'anaphore dans la dynamique textuelle*. Genève: Droz.

Benveniste, Emile. 1966. La nature des pronoms. *Problèmes de linguistique générale*, vol. 1, Gallimard, Paris, 251-257.

Charolles, Michel. 2002. *La référence et les expressions référentielles en français*. Gap: Ophrys.

Fauconnier, Gilles. 1974. *La coréférence: syntaxe ou sémantique*. Paris: Le Seuil.

Kleiber, Georges. 1994. *Anaphores et pronoms*. Gembloux: Duculot.

Kleiber, Georges. 2001. *L'anaphore associative*. Paris: PUF.

Zribi-Hertz, Anne. 1996. *L'anaphore et les pronoms: Une introduction à la syntaxe générative*. Villeneuve d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion.

Zribi-Hertz, Anne. 2003. Réflexivité et disjonction référentielle. In Philip Miller and Anne Zribi-Hertz (éds), *Essais sur la grammaire comparée du français et de l'anglais*, 189-227, Paris: Presses Universitaires de Vincennes.